

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/739,023	MICHALIK, ANDREA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wendy C Haas	1661	

All Participants:

(1) Wendy C Haas.

(2) Patricia Olosky.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections of record

Claims discussed:

The claim

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Olosky informed the Examiner that the action cannot be final with a Rule 1.105 request – the Examiner agreed to re-issue the Office action.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)